

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/966,987	66,987 09/28/2001		Daniel D. Bloch	38190/235967 5823			
826	7590	08/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ALSTON &	BIRD L	LP	PUNNOOSE, ROY M				
BANK OF A	MERICA	PLAZA					
101 SOUTH	TRYON S	STREET, SUITE 4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
CHARLOTT	E, NC 2	8280-4000		2877			

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AX
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/966,987	BLOCH ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, wh	nichavar is later. In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprince of the fee. The appropriate of the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	*	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jootou olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate,	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's arguments presented with regard to claim 17 has been accepted by the Examiner because none of the prior-art documents disclose a method for measuring the characteristics of a hole comprising the step of moving the optical fiber in a radial direction, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument with regard to the rejection of claim 1 is not convincing because the optical fiber probe of Van Hengel et al is not a tight fit in the hole and therefore fiber is movable in a radial direction toward and away from hole wall. It should be noted that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).